1. Case 180621-1
   Documents:
   180621-1 STAFF REPORT.PDF

2. June 2018 Minutes
   Documents:
   BOA MINUTES (JUNE).PDF
EXPLANATION OF THE REQUEST

The applicant is requesting relief of 164 feet from the 35 foot height limit per Section 4.6.1 C & Section 2.8.1 of the Iredell County Land Development Code in order to construct a 199 foot tall cell tower in the Airport Overlay Primary Approach Zone.

OWNER/APPLICANT

Owner: Linda Carpenter
PO Box 5111
Statesville, NC 28687

Applicant: Faulk & Foster
678 Front Ave. NW, Suite 215
Grand Rapids, MI 49504

PROPERTY INFORMATION

LOCATION: 298 Mock Mill Road in Statesville, NC; more specifically identified as PIN# 4703195019.

DIRECTIONS: Old Mountain Road, left on Beulah Road, left on Mock Mill Road, on right.

SURROUNDING LAND USE: This property is surrounded by residential uses and I-40.

SIZE: The property is 19.17 acres.

EXISTING LAND USE: The property currently has a home on it.

ZONING: The property is currently zoned R-20 (Single Family Residential).
FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The request is relief of 164 feet from the 35 foot height limit per Section 4.6.1 C & Section 2.8.1 of the Iredell County Land Development Code in order to construct a 199 foot tall cell tower in the Airport Overlay Primary Approach Zone.

2. The property is 19.17 acres located at 298 Mock Mill Road in Statesville, NC; more specifically identified as PIN# 4703195019.

3. The property is currently zoned R-20 (Single Family Residential).

4. The application was filed on 4/30/18.

5. The adjoining property owners were notified on 5/29/18.

6. The property was posted on 5/29/18.

STAFF COMMENTS

The Airport Overlay was adopted by the County in 2004 and amended in 2007. The purpose of the overlay is to provide air safety for the planes landing and taking off at the Statesville Airport. This request is to allow a cell tower to be built in the Primary Approach Zone in the Airport Overlay. The Primary Approach Zone begins at each runway end at a width of 1000 feet and extends out 20,000 to a width of 6,940 feet. The proposed tower is approximately 260 feet from the edge of the Primary Approach Zone. The tower will be 195 foot tall with a 4 foot antenna on top. The maximum height for structures in the overlay and the R-20 district is 35 feet.

The Federal Aviation Administration has issued a Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation for the proposed tower, which is included with this staff report. Statesville Regional Airport Manager John Ferguson stated that the airport would have no issues if the FAA considered the tower a No Hazard. He did request that lighting per FAA standards be added as a condition if approved, even though a tower of this height would not be required to have it.

EXHIBITS

Staff Exhibit 1. Variance Application
Staff Exhibit 2. Engineered Drawing
Staff Exhibit 3. Section 4.6.1 C & Section 2.8.1
Staff Exhibit 4. Adjoining Owners and Zoning Map
Staff Exhibit 5. 2017 Aerial Map
Staff Exhibit 6. Airport Overlay Map (close up)
IREDELL COUNTY
APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE

Variance requested on property located at: 298 Mock Mill Road, Statesville, NC 28677
Property Zone: R-20    PIN #: 4703-19-5019.000    Lot Size: 19.170 acres
Property Owner: Linda M. Carpenter
Applicant: Verizon Wireless
Date Existing Structure Erected: Not applicable

TO THE IREDELL COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT:

Verizon Wireless hereby petition the Board of Adjustment for a VARIANCE from
the literal provisions of the Iredell County Zoning Ordinance because, under the
interpretation given to me by the Zoning Administrator, I am prohibited from using the parcel
of land described above in a manner shown by the Plot Plan attached to this form. I
requested a variance from the following provisions of the Land Development Code (cite
Section numbers and Code requirements):

The height limitation imposed by Section 4.6.2 based on the Primary Approach Zone definition
in Section 4.6.1.C, which limit a structure to the height determined under Chapter 2 or
50 feet above ground elevation, whichever is less.

Describe the VARIANCE being requested on the above referenced property:
Verizon Wireless proposes a 195' monopole with a lightning rod extending to 199' above
ground level as shown on Sheet C6 of the drawings. Table 2.11 lists a maximum height
of 35' in the R-20 District but Section 2.25 provides an exception for these types of structures
so the variance requested would be 149' (the difference between 199' and 50'). If the board
FACTORS RELEVANT TO THE ISSUANCE OF A VARIANCE: Instead applies the 35' R-20 height
limit without applying the exception, then the variance requested would be 164'.
The Board of Adjustment does not have unlimited discretion in deciding whether to grant a
variance. The Board must make the findings required below, taking into account the nature
of the proposed request, the existing use of land in the vicinity and the probable effect of
the proposed request upon traffic conditions in the vicinity, if applicable.

A. Are there special circumstances or conditions affecting said property such that the
strict application of the provisions of this Ordinance would cause an unnecessary
hardship?

Yes. The application of a 20,000' long Primary Approach Zone with a zero slope
prohibit's Verizon's ability to provide effective wireless service. Verizon has obtained
FAA approval indicating that the proposed height in this location poses no hazard.
to air navigation. At a distance of approximately 15,612'/2,9568 miles the proposed location will not cause any difficulty to users of the airport so the restriction is an unnecessary hardship.

B. Are the circumstances giving rise to the need for the variance peculiar to the parcel and not generally characteristic of other parcels in the jurisdiction of this Ordinance? Yes. The circumstances are peculiar to a parcel within the Primary Approach Zone at not generally characteristic of other parcels in Iredell County zoning jurisdiction. This particular parcel has the ability to meet all other zoning requirements while also meeting the criteria to address the wireless service needs of the community. The unique height requirements of a wireless tower are directly impacted by the height restriction in a way that other uses that do not require a taller height aren't.

C. Is the hardship suffered a result of the applicant's or property owner’s own actions? No. The need to address wireless service in this area is generated by the activity of the cell phone users in the community. The benefit of this location will extend not only to Verizon users but also to subscribers for other companies that co-locate on this pole in the future. The hardship is created by the presence of a local 20,000' long approach surface with zero slope which is at odds with the obstruction surfaces set forth in Part 77 of the Federal Aviation Regulations.

D. Will the granting of the variance be consistent with the spirit, purpose, and intent of the Ordinance, such that the public safety is secured and substantial justice is achieved? Yes. The proposed location is approximately 15,612'/2,9568 miles west of the end of the runway. There are transmission line towers much closer and there is an existing tower in the Primary Approach Zone east of the runway so granting the variance would be consistent with the presence of other similar structures within the Primary Approach Zone. Public safety is secured by the FAA determination that there is no hazard to air navigation.
I do hereby certify that all information, which I have provided for this application, is to the best of my knowledge, correct, and authorize applicant/agent listed below to appear before the Board.

Property Owner: Linda Carpenter-Lippard
Company Name:
Position:
Address: 424 Chestnut Lane, Statesville, NC 28625
Phone: 704-873-8975
Email:
Signature: Linda Carpenter-Lippard

North Carolina, Iredell County

Amy B. Anderson, a Notary Public for Iredell County, North Carolina, do hereby certify that Linda Carpenter-Lippard personally appeared before me this day and acknowledged the due execution of the foregoing instrument.

Witness my hand and official seal this the 24th day of April

My Commission expires: May 29, 2020

Faulk & Foster, by Ralph Wyngarden, on behalf of Verizon Wireless

Applicant/Agent: Faulk & Foster
and property owner
Company Name: Faulk & Foster
Position: Senior Zoning Specialist
Address: 678 Front Ave NW, Suite 215, Grand Rapids, MI 49504
Phone: 616-490-9804
Email: ralph.wyngarden@faulkandfoster.com
Signature: Ralph Wyngarden
1. **ANTENNA ORIENTATION PLAN**

   (NOT TO SCALE, FOR ILLUSTRATIVE PURPOSES ONLY. SEE STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS BY DESIGNER TO CONFIRM ANTENNA MOUNT TYPES)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>Antennas</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Connect.</th>
<th>Radio Unit</th>
<th>Composition Cables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>20° 0° 850</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>Andrew/Biro-Echoes-2N</td>
<td>R61R332</td>
<td>R61R332 0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20° 0° 850</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>Andrew/Biro-Echoes-2N</td>
<td>R61R332</td>
<td>R61R332 0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20° 0° 700</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>Andrew/Biro-Echoes-2N</td>
<td>R61R332</td>
<td>R61R332 0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>110° 0° 850</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Andrew/Biro-Echoes-2N</td>
<td>R61R332</td>
<td>R61R332 0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110° 0° 2100</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Andrew/Biro-Echoes-2N</td>
<td>R61R332</td>
<td>R61R332 0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110° 0° 700</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>Andrew/Biro-Echoes-2N</td>
<td>R61R332</td>
<td>R61R332 0/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **MONOPOLE TOWER ELEVATION - WEST VIEW**

   **NOT TO SCALE**

   

   **NOTES:**
   1. ALL PROPOSED ATTACHMENTS TO TOWER BASED ON TOWER DESIGN DRAWINGS BY OTHERS (SEE GENERAL NOTE 1.07, SHEET NO)
   2. TOWER ELEVATION SHOWN IS FOR REFERENCE ONLY
   3. GUARDIAN CABLE LENGTHS ARE APPROXIMATE, CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY CORRECT LENGTH IN FIELD AT TIME OF CONSTRUCTION
   4. PROPOSED TOWER WILL BE GALVANIZED STEEL-GREY IN COLOR

   **TOP OF TOWER**
   EL. 190' A.G.L.

   **TOP OF LIGHTNING ROD**
   EL. 190' A.G.L.
**DETERMINATION OF NO HAZARD TO AIR NAVIGATION**

The Federal Aviation Administration has conducted an aeronautical study under the provisions of 49 U.S.C., Section 44718 and if applicable Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 77, concerning:

- **Structure:** Monopole BROAD MEADOW - B
- **Location:** Statesville, NC
- **Latitude:** 35-45-51.51N NAD 83
- **Longitude:** 81-01-05.65W
- **Heights:**
  - 992 feet site elevation (SE)
  - 199 feet above ground level (AGL)
  - 1191 feet above mean sea level (AMSL)

This aeronautical study revealed that the structure does not exceed obstruction standards and would not be a hazard to air navigation provided the following condition(s), if any, is(are) met:

It is required that FAA Form 7460-2, Notice of Actual Construction or Alteration, be e-filed any time the project is abandoned or:

- At least 10 days prior to start of construction (7460-2, Part 1)
- X Within 5 days after the construction reaches its greatest height (7460-2, Part 2)

Based on this evaluation, marking and lighting are not necessary for aviation safety. However, if marking/lighting are accomplished on a voluntary basis, we recommend it be installed in accordance with FAA Advisory circular 70/7460-1 L Change 1.

This determination expires on 03/28/2019 unless:

(a) the construction is started (not necessarily completed) and FAA Form 7460-2, Notice of Actual Construction or Alteration, is received by this office.
(b) extended, revised, or terminated by the issuing office.
(c) the construction is subject to the licensing authority of the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and an application for a construction permit has been filed, as required by the FCC, within 6 months of the date of this determination. In such case, the determination expires on the date prescribed by the FCC for completion of construction, or the date the FCC denies the application.
NOTE: REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD OF THIS DETERMINATION MUST BE E-FILED AT LEAST 15 DAYS PRIOR TO THE EXPIRATION DATE. AFTER RE-EVALUATION OF CURRENT OPERATIONS IN THE AREA OF THE STRUCTURE TO DETERMINE THAT NO SIGNIFICANT AERONAUTICAL CHANGES HAVE OCCURRED, YOUR DETERMINATION MAY BE ELIGIBLE FOR ONE EXTENSION OF THE EFFECTIVE PERIOD.

This determination is based, in part, on the foregoing description which includes specific coordinates, heights, frequency(ies) and power. Any changes in coordinates, heights, and frequencies or use of greater power, except those frequencies specified in the Colo Void Clause Coalition; Antenna System Co-Location; Voluntary Best Practices, effective 21 Nov 2007, will void this determination. Any future construction or alteration, including increase to heights, power, or the addition of other transmitters, requires separate notice to the FAA. This determination includes all previously filed frequencies and power for this structure.

This determination does include temporary construction equipment such as cranes, derricks, etc., which may be used during actual construction of the structure. However, this equipment shall not exceed the overall heights as indicated above. Equipment which has a height greater than the studied structure requires separate notice to the FAA.

This determination concerns the effect of this structure on the safe and efficient use of navigable airspace by aircraft and does not relieve the sponsor of compliance responsibilities relating to any law, ordinance, or regulation of any Federal, State, or local government body.

A copy of this determination will be forwarded to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) because the structure is subject to their licensing authority.

If we can be of further assistance, please contact our office at (817) 222-5928, or chris.smith@faa.gov. On any future correspondence concerning this matter, please refer to Aeronautical Study Number 2017-ASO-19152-OE.

Signature Control No: 344323077-344941460

Chris Smith
Technician

Attachment(s)
Case Description
Frequency Data
Map(s)

cc: FCC
Case Description for ASN 2017-ASO-19152-OE

Proposed 199' monopole. If marking/lighting is required, dual/medium intensity is requested. For questions contact Vicki McCauley @ 908-306-7477.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LOW FREQUENCY</th>
<th>HIGH FREQUENCY</th>
<th>FREQUENCY UNIT</th>
<th>ERP</th>
<th>ERP UNIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>GHz</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>dBW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>GHz</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>dBW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>GHz</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>dBW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>GHz</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>dBW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>GHz</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>dBW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>GHz</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>dBW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>GHz</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>dBW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>GHz</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>dBW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>614</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>698</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>806</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>806</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>824</td>
<td>849</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>851</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>869</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>896</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>929</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>930</td>
<td>931</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>931</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>932</td>
<td>932.5</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>dBW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>935</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>940</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1670</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1710</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1850</td>
<td>1910</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1850</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2110</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2305</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2305</td>
<td>2310</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2345</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2496</td>
<td>2690</td>
<td>MHz</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section 4.6  Airport Overlay Regulations

Section 4.6.1  Airport Zones
In order to carry out the purposes of air safety, there are hereby created and established certain zones, which include all of the land lying beneath the approach surfaces, transitional surfaces, horizontal surfaces, and conical surfaces as they apply to Statesville Regional Airport. Such zones are shown on the Height Zoning Map (See Figure 4.2) dated September 2007, which is incorporated into the comprehensive zoning map. An area located in more than one (1) of the following zones is considered to be only in the zone with the more restrictive height limitation. The various zones are hereby established and defined as follows:

C. Primary Approach Zones
The Primary Approach Zones begin at each runway end starting at the pavement edge at a width of 1,000 feet and extend to a width of 6,940 for a horizontal distance of 20,000 feet. This zone provides that no structure may be built within this zone that rises above a height as determined in the underlying zoning district as directed in Chapter 2 or to a maximum of fifty (50) feet above ground elevation, whichever is less. No structures will be exempt from this requirement, and under no circumstance shall any structures be located in the Primary Approach Zone that are taller than fifty (50) feet above ground elevation.
## Variance Worksheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>Reasons/Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| There are special circumstances or conditions affecting the property such that the strict application of the provisions of the Ordinance would cause unnecessary hardship. | True: [If true, state the facts that make it true.]
|                                                                         | False: [If false, state the facts that make it false.]
| The circumstance giving rise to the need for the variance are peculiar to the parcel and are not generally characteristic of other parcels in the County’s jurisdiction. | True: [If true, state the facts that make it true.]
|                                                                         | False: [If false, state the facts that make it false.]
| The hardship suffered is NOT a result of the applicant’s or property owner’s own actions. | True: [If true, state the facts that make it true.]
|                                                                         | False: [If false, state the facts that make it false.]
| The granting of the variance will be consistent with the spirit, purpose, and intent of the Ordinance, such that public safety is secured and substantial justice is achieved. | True: [If true, state the facts that make it true.]
|                                                                         | False: [If false, state the facts that make it false.]}
IREDELL COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

The Iredell County Zoning Board of Adjustment met at a regularly scheduled meeting on Thursday, June 21, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. in the Commissioners Meeting Room of the Iredell County Government Center (Old Courthouse) at 200 S. Center Street in Statesville, NC.

MEMBERS PRESENT
Roy West, Chairman
Bob Dellinger
Mac McCombs
David Aman
Bill Leach
Tim Johnson
John Allen

STAFF PRESENT
Rebecca Harper
Matthew Todd
Rich Hoffman
Lisa Valdez

MEMBERS ABSENT
Bill Brater

Chairman West called the meeting to order and had opening prayer.

Minutes: Mr. Dellinger made a motion to approve the minutes from the April 19, 2018 meeting. Mr. Aman seconded motion and all were in favor.

At this time, Mr. Aman made a motion that the board enter into Closed Session in accordance with G.S. 143-318.11 for the purpose of litigation. Chairman West moved the board into Closed Session at 6:20 pm.

The board entered back into open session at 6:40 pm.

Revisit Findings of Fact for Case# 170720-1 Richard Howard

Each member of the board confirmed that they received a copy of the audio transcript of the July 20, 2017 meeting.

Mr. Aman made a motion to amend the prior order to add the following Findings of Fact:

1. The property owner testified that he took steps to redirect a major portion of traffic to enter from Langtree Road and to not allow buses on Yeoman Road.
2. Owner will install 10 foot landscape buffer on a seven foot berm to further protect the adjacent neighborhood. This would further deter noise and other factors.
3. Planning staff testified that the application met requirements of Chapter 3, R30 of the Iredell County Land Development Code. The use is consistent with uses permitted by right in the Residential (RA) zoning district pursuant to the Land Development Code, including churches, schools, colleges, universities, and private RV campsites.
4. Aerial photographs of the property in question and surrounding properties were reviewed, the property is in immediate proximity to the Davidson College property, where events are held.
5. The property owner testified that future events will be held inside to limit noise.
6. Buses will enter the property from Langtree Road.
7. Site Plan reflects a seven-foot berm and a pond retention to prevent any runoff on adjacent properties.
8. Staff testified that the property meets the County’s watershed requirements for impervious
surface. Additional parking would be reinforced by gravel versus asphalt pavement to minimize runoff.

9. Mr. Howard testified that he requested the Iredell County Sheriff’s Office to drive through the property for safety when events are occurring and when events are not occurring.

10. There was no evidence presented to support that the Special Use Permit would materially endanger public health or safety.

County Attorney Lisa Valdez asked Board members if they each agreed with the additional Findings of Fact. Each member agreed.

With a motion on the table to add the proposed Findings of Fact to the original order, Mr. Dellinger seconded said motion and all were in favor. **VOTE: 7-0**

Ms. Harper presented the following cases:

**BOA CASE NO. 180621-1 Linda Carpenter (Owner) and Faulk & Foster (Applicant)**

**EXPLANATION OF THE REQUEST**
The applicant is requesting relief of 164 feet from the 35 foot height limit per Section 4.6.1 C & Section 2.8.1 of the Iredell County Land Development Code in order to construct a 199 foot tall cell tower in the Airport Overlay Primary Approach Zone.

**OWNER/APPLICANT**
Owner: Linda Carpenter
Applicant: Faulk & Foster

**PROPERTY INFORMATION**
LOCATION: 298 Mock Mill Road in Statesville, NC; more specifically identified as PIN# 4703195019.
DIRECTIONS: Old Mountain Road, left on Beulah Road, left on Mock Mill Road, on right.
SURROUNDING LAND USE: This property is surrounded by residential uses and I-40.
SIZE: The property is 19.17 acres.
EXISTING LAND USE: The property currently has a home on it.
ZONING: The property is currently zoned R-20 (Single Family Residential).

**FINDINGS OF FACT**
1. The request is relief of 164 feet from the 35 foot height limit per Section 4.6.1 C & Section 2.8.1 of the Iredell County Land Development Code in order to construct a 199 foot tall cell tower in the Airport Overlay Primary Approach Zone.
2. The property is 19.17 acres located at 298 Mock Mill Road in Statesville, NC; more specifically identified as PIN# 4703195019.
3. The property is currently zoned R-20 (Single Family Residential).
4. The application was filed on 4/30/18.
5. The adjoining property owners were notified on 5/29/18.
6. The property was posted on 5/29/18.

**STAFF COMMENTS**

The Airport Overlay was adopted by the County in 2004 and amended in 2007. The purpose of the overlay is to provide air safety for the planes landing and taking off at the Statesville Airport. This request is to allow a cell tower to be built in the Primary Approach Zone in the Airport Overlay. The Primary Approach Zone begins at each runway end at a width of 1000 feet and extends out 20,000 to a width of 6,940 feet. The proposed tower is approximately 260 feet from the edge of the Primary Approach Zone. The tower will be 195 foot tall with a 4 foot antenna on top. The maximum height for structures in the overlay and the R-20 district is 35 feet.

The Federal Aviation Administration has issued a Determination of No Hazard to Air Navigation for the proposed tower, which is included with this staff report. Statesville Regional Airport Manager John Ferguson stated that the airport would have no issues if the FAA considered the tower a No Hazard. He did request that lighting per FAA standards be added as a condition if approved, even though a tower of this height would not be required to have it.

**EXHIBITS**

- Staff Exhibit 1. Variance Application
- Staff Exhibit 2. Engineered Drawing
- Staff Exhibit 3. Section 4.6.1 C & Section 2.8.1
- Staff Exhibit 4. Adjoining Owners and Zoning Map
- Staff Exhibit 5. 2017 Aerial Map
- Staff Exhibit 6. Airport Overlay Map (close up)
- Staff Exhibit 7. Airport Overlay (entire overlay)

**QUESTIONS FROM BOARD TO STAFF**

Ms. Harper said Statesville Regional Airport Manager John Ferguson shared that the airport would have no issues if the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) considered the tower a No Hazard. Ms. Harper added that Mr. Ferguson did request that lighting per FAA standards be added as a condition if approved. A tower of this height is not required to have lighting.

Ms. Harper explained that Mr. Ferguson performed calculations based on the location of the tower to determine how high the planes would come in and the calculations were approximately 200 feet above the proposed tower.

Chairman West asked how Mr. Ferguson would know if there is a significance difference in topography from the end of the runway to the tower. He asked what if there is a 200 feet elevation change. Ms. Harper said she thinks Mr. Ferguson’s calculations were based on level but there would be some topography changes. Chairman West said that the calculations are not a valid assessment if the topography was not considered.

Mr. Dellinger asked if the applicant has considered moving the location of the tower. Ms. Harper said that has not been discussed with staff. She further said she did not know if the setback
requirements from neighboring houses would be met if the location changed.

Chairman West asked if there are any towers in the Airport Overlay. Ms. Harper said the application refers to other structures located in the overlay that are over the 35 foot height limit. Ms. Harper said staff has not permitted any structures that are over 35 foot since the overlay was put into place.

Chairman West said he does not think the FAA and Mr. Ferguson considered that the City of Statesville is going to lengthen the runway. A south parallel runway is also planned. Chairman West explained these are being done to attract larger planes as they are forced out of Charlotte Douglas International Airport by commercial traffic.

Chairman West said the plan being shown will change next year once the expansions begin. Mr. Leach asked if this should be taken into consideration of the request. Mr. Leach said it would be difficult for the board to make a decision tonight without additional information.

Mr. Allen asked if there will be a requirement for other cell towers in the area of the airport to be shortened after the airport expansion. Ms. Harper said the Planning Department will not require that. County Attorney Lisa Valdez said any towers approved before the airport overlay went into effect will be grandfathered in or considered a non-conforming use.

Mr. Allen asked how much proportionate to the tower would the runway be expanding. Ms. Harper said 1000 feet.

Mr. Aman asked if the board can consider the information that Chairman West has provided. Ms. Valdez said Chairman West would need to be sworn in if that is evidence being considered or another source needs to provide how the calculations were made, perhaps Mr. Ferguson.

Mr. Johnson asked if Chairman West can provide sworn testimony as a member of the board. Ms. Valdez said it is not normal practice for a board member to step aside to testify. She suggested that someone be present to provide testimony and answer additional questions.

Mr. Dellinger said Chairman West is a member of the Statesville City Council and has adequate knowledge of the airport. Mr. Dellinger suggested that the meeting be continued to allow time for additional information.

Chairman West said he presented the information because he serves on the City Council. Ms. Valdez said the board’s decision on the variance is based on sworn testimony. She said that Chairman West provided information while not being under oath.

Mr. Leach said that he would like to hear from the City Manager or the Airport Manager. Mr. Leach asked about continuing the public hearing. Ms. Valdez said the public hearing would be continued to hear additional testimony and to allow the applicant time to provide someone to answer questions from the board. She further said that the burden of proof falls on the applicant. Ms. Valdez explained that if the applicant does not wish to continue the hearing the board will base their decision on the evidence that is being heard tonight.

Mr. McCombs asked about future plans of the airport and if there are any drawings of the extended runway. Ms. Harper said that has not been done. Mr. Aman said the board is aware that the expansion is planned. Ms. Valdez said Mr. Ferguson will be able to provide testimony on the expansion. The overlay has not been amended based on the expansion plans but the site is already
in the primary approach zone.

Chairman West said he would like the board to continue the public hearing. Ms. Valdez suggested that the board allow Ms. Harper finish the staff report and allow the applicant to speak.

Mr. Johnson asked if it is the board’s responsibility to request further information or is it the applicant’s burden to prove it. Ms. Valdez said if the board does not feel that sufficient evidence has been provided to make the determination then the motion would be to deny the request. Ms. Valdez said the board can ask the applicant if they would like additional time to provide additional evidence.

At this time Ms. Harper entered the staff report and power point into the record as evidence.

Mr. Aman said the ordinance, under primary approach zone, states “no structure will be exempt from this requirement and under no circumstances shall any structure be located in the primary approach zone that are taller than 50 feet”. The FAA have deemed a no hazard. Mr. Aman asked if the FAA takes precedence of authority on this topic. Ms. Harper said if the board does not grant the variance the FAA cannot supersede the decision and approve the tower. The board can consider the FAA determination as evidence in making their decision.

At this time Chairman West swore/affirmed in those wishing to speak in regard to the following case.

**THOSE SPEAKING FOR THE REQUEST**

**Ralph Wyngarden**, with Faulk & Foster, spoke in favor of the request. He said it may be helpful to have Mr. Ferguson present to help answer any additional questions.

Mr. Wyngarden said the county’s zoning ordinance has the primary approach surface coming off the end of the runway but is flat at 50 foot or 35 foot in applying the district height limit. Most other ordinances have a ratio where the approach zone ascends from the end of the runway that gets higher the farther away from the airport. He said the FAA gives it an imaginary surface going up at a certain ratio and they are considering the tower be below therefore it is not a hazard and does not needed to be lighted.

Chairman West asked Mr. Wyngarden his area of expertise. Mr. Wyngarden said he is not giving expert testimony. He is relying on the contents of the FAA document submitted.

Mr. Wyngarden said it is necessary to have the airport manager present to comment on the measurements he made. It appears from the staff report that Mr. Ferguson would be fine with the approval of the tower with the condition of the tower being lighted. He said the applicant would agree to light the tower.

Mr. Allen asked if Mr. Ferguson used the FAA report to determine the calculations. Ms. Harper said she did not know.

Mr. Wyngarden said the general location is there to meet the IRF requirements to provide cellular service.

**THOSE SPEAKING ABOUT THE REQUEST**
Sue Taylor, 341 Dagenhart Farm Road, said she was opposed to the variance. She said there is a lot of air traffic in the area on the weekends. She said the planes are very low when flying over her property, barely clearing the pine trees.

Ms. Taylor said there is currently an inoperable Verizon tower on Goble Road.

Ms. Taylor had pictures showing how low planes fly over. However, the pictures were on her phone. Ms. Valdez explained that pictures needed to be in print form in order to be presented into record but Ms. Taylor could present printed pictures at the next meeting.

Ronnie Brawley, 297 Mock Mill Road, spoke against the variance because of the air traffic in the area. He said the proposed tower would propose a hazard to the planes that fly in the area.

Mr. Aman asked Mr. Brawley if planes fly at a height that they could potentially collide with the tower. Mr. Brawley said planes are not far above the trees when they approach his house. Mr. Brawley said planes have been low enough to shake the windows in his home.

Joe Anderson, 368 Mock Mill Road, spoke against the request. He said there is a cell tower, not being used, located less than one quarter of a mile away from the proposed. He said he has had to maintain the property that the tower is located. Mr. Anderson said a new tower should not be placed since there is one so close that is not being used.

Chairman West asked what cellular company owns the other tower. Mr. Anderson said he did not know.

The board asked Mr. Harper to contact Mr. Ferguson about the meeting on behalf of the board and the applicant.

Mr. Dellinger made a motion to continue the public hearing until July 12, 2018. Mr. Leach seconded said motion and all were in favor. [VOTE: 7-0]

At this time, Chairman West recessed the meeting at 7:45 pm.

Amy B. Anderson, Administrative Assistant
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